UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,272	09/25/2006	Petrus Johannes Lenoir	NL 040315	6405
24737 7590 02/23/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			KING, JOHN B	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2435	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/599,272	LENOIR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John B. King	2435	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435 Examiner, Art Unit 2435
/Kimyen Vu/ /John B King/
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowers a baseline.
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
Claim(s) rejected: <u>33-60</u> .
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
appeal; and/or
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
NOTICE OF APPEAL
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
periods:
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

Continuation of 3. NOTE: No Allowable Subject Matter was indicated in the Final Office Action and this case would require further consideration if entered.

Continuation of 13. Other: The previous 35 USC 101 and 112 rejections still stand. Claims 47-59 recite "means for" language which is modified by sufficient structure to allow one of ordinary skill in the art to perform the desired limitation. For example, in claim 47, the second "means for binding" is followed by enough structure to allow the limitation be performed. Specific details are included regarding the Device Owner List and the identifiers to allow one of ordinary skill in the art to perform this limitation. Also, the claimed "computer readable storage medium" of claim 60 should recite a "non-transitory computer readable storage medium" under current USPTO guidelines to overcome the rejections to claim 60.

Applicant's claims 33, 47, and 60 recite generating a domain list by binding devices to users and storing this in a list labeling the devices, users, and domain with identifiers. Nakahara, paragraphs 200-206, teaches having a user generating a domain list which contains function ids of content usage devices units i.e. the user is bound to those specific content usage devices by the function ids. When a license management unit does not belong to the domain (i.e. does not belong to the user) it is not granted access. Therefore, the examiner feels that the cited prior art covers the presented claim limitations.